AMA/ePolicy Institute Research

2005 ELECTRONIC MONITORING & SURVEILLANCE SURVEY

Executive Summary

From computer monitoring and telephone taping to video surveillance and GPS satellite tracking, employers increasingly are using policy and technology to manage productivity and protect resources. To motivate employee compliance, companies increasingly are putting teeth in technology policies. Fully 26% have fired workers for misusing the Internet. Another 25% have terminated employees for e-mail misuse. And 6% have fired employees for misusing office phones.

When it comes to workplace computer use, employers are primarily concerned about inappropriate Web surfing, with 76% monitoring workers' Website connections. Fully 65% of companies use software to block connections to inappropriate Websites—a 27% increase since 2001 when AMA and ePolicy Institute last surveyed electronic monitoring and surveillance policies and procedures in the workplace. Computer monitoring takes various forms, with 36% of employers tracking content, keystrokes and time spent at the keyboard. Another 50% store and review employees' computer files. Companies also keep an eye on e-mail, with 55% retaining and reviewing messages.

Employers are doing a good job of notifying employees when they are being watched. Of those organizations that engage in monitoring and surveillance activities, fully 80% inform workers that the company is monitoring content, keystrokes and time spent at the keyboard; 82% let employees know the company stores and reviews computer files; 86% alert employees to e-mail monitoring; and 89% notify employees that their Web usage is being tracked.

Concern over litigation and the role electronic evidence plays in lawsuits and regulatory investigations has spurred more employers to implement electronic technology policies. Employers have established policies governing personal e-mail use (84%); personal Internet use (81%); personal instant messenger (IM) use (42%); operation of personal Websites on company time (34%); personal postings on corporate blogs (23%); and operation of personal blogs on company time (20%).

Workers' e-mail, IM, blog and Internet content create written business records that are the electronic equivalent of DNA evidence. One in five employers has had e-mail subpoenaed by courts and regulators, and another 13% have battled workplace lawsuits

triggered by employee e-mail, according to last year's Workplace E-Mail & IM Survey from AMA and ePolicy Institute. To help control the risk of litigation, security breaches and other electronic disasters, employers should take advantage of technology tools to battle people problems—including the accidental and intentional misuse of computer systems, telephones and other electronic resources.

Concerned about inappropriate telephone use, 57% of employers block access to 900 lines and other unauthorized phone numbers. The number of employers who monitor the amount of time employees spend on the phone and track the numbers called has jumped to 51%, up from 9% in 2001. The percentage of companies that tape phone conversations has also grown in the past four years. In 2001, 9% of companies recorded workers' phone calls. Today, 19% tape the calls of employees in selected job categories, and another 3% record and review all employees' phone chat.

Far fewer employers monitor employees' voice mail messages, with 15% reporting that they tape or review voice mail.

Employers are notifying employees that their phone conversations are being monitored. Of those organizations that engage in monitoring and surveillance activities, fully 78% notify employees when they monitor time spent and numbers called; 86% alert employees when their conversations are being taped; and among the 15% who tape and review voice mail, 76% notify employees that they are monitoring.

To help manage employees' telephone use, employers apply a combination of policy and discipline. Twenty-seven percent have a written policy governing personal cell phone use at the office, and another 19% use policy to help control the capture and transmission of images via camera phones. Six percent of companies have fired employees for misusing office phones, and another 22% have issued formal reprimands to those who abuse phone privileges.

More than half of companies surveyed use video monitoring to counter theft, violence and sabotage (51% in 2005 vs. 33% in 2001). The number of companies that use video surveillance to track employees' on-the-job performance has grown 4% since 2001, with 10% now videotaping selected job categories and 6% videotaping all employees. Among companies that videotape workers, 85% notify employees of the practice.

Employers have been slow to adopt emerging monitoring/surveillance technologies to help track employee productivity and movement. Employers who use Assisted Global Positioning or Global Positioning Systems satellite technology are in the minority, with only 5% using GPS to monitor cell phones; 8% using GPS to track company vehicles; and 8% using GPS to monitor employee ID/Smartcards.

The majority (53%) of companies employ Smartcard technology to control physical security and access to buildings and data centers. Trailing far behind is the use of technology that enables fingerprint scans (5%), facial recognition (2%), and iris scans (0.5%).

Findings

INTERNET AND E-MAIL MONITORING AND BLOCKING

To motivate employee compliance, companies increasingly are putting teeth in technology policies. Fully 26% have fired workers for misusing the Internet. Another 25% have terminated employees for e-mail misuse.

When it comes to workplace computer use, employers are primarily concerned about inappropriate Web surfing, with 76% monitoring workers' Website connections. Fully 65% of companies use software to block connections to inappropriate Websites—a 27% increase since 2001 when AMA and ePolicy Institute last surveyed electronic monitoring and surveillance policies and procedures in the workplace.

Computer monitoring takes various forms, with 36% of employers tracking content, keystrokes and time spent at the keyboard. Another 50% store and review employees' computer files. Companies also keep an eye on e-mail, with 55% retaining and reviewing messages.

Employers are notifying employees when they are being watched. Fully 80% inform workers that the company is monitoring content, keystrokes and time spent at the keyboard; 82% let employees know the company stores and reviews computer files; 86% alert employees to e-mail monitoring; and 89% notify employees that their Web usage is being tracked.

Concern over litigation and the role electronic evidence plays in lawsuits and regulatory investigations has spurred more employers to implement electronic technology policies. Employers have established policies governing personal e-mail use (84%); personal Internet use (81%); personal instant messenger (IM) use (42%); operation of personal Websites on company time (34%); personal postings on corporate blogs (23%); and operation of personal blogs on company time (20%).

Workers' e-mail, IM, blog and Internet content create written business records that are the electronic equivalent of DNA evidence. One in five employers has had e-mail subpoenaed by courts and regulators, and another 13% have battled workplace lawsuits triggered by employee e-mail, according to last year's Workplace E-Mail & IM Survey from AMA and ePolicy Institute. To help control the risk of litigation, security breaches and other electronic disasters, employers should take advantage of technology tools to battle people problems—including the accidental and intentional misuse of computer systems, telephones and other electronic resources.

Q. Computer Usage: Does your organization monitor time spent, matter/content, or keystrokes entered?

All employees 21% Selected job categories 15%

Not practiced 64%

Q. If monitoring of time spent, matter/content, or keystrokes is practiced, how would you categorize the monitoring?

Ongoing	32%
Routine	32%
Occasional	21%
Specified	15%

Q. Are employees informed of the organization's policy of monitoring time spent, matter/content, or keystrokes?

Yes 80% No 20%

Q. Computer Surveillance: Does your organization store and review employees' computer files?

All employees 30% Selected job categories 20%

Not practiced 50%

Q. If monitoring of files is practiced, how would you categorize the monitoring?

Ongoing29%Routine24%Occasional28%Specified19%

Q. Are employees informed of the organization's policy of monitoring files?

Yes 82% No 18%

Q. E-Mail: Does the organization store and review employees' e-mail messages?

All employees 38% Selected job categories 17%

Not practiced 45%

Q. If e-mail monitoring is practiced, how would you categorize the monitoring?

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Ongoing} & 32\% \\ \text{Routine} & 28\% \\ \text{Occasional} & 22\% \\ \text{Specified} & 18\% \end{array}$

Q. Are employees informed of the organization's policy of monitoring e-mail?

Yes 86% No 14%

Q. Internet Usage: Does your organization monitor and review Website connections?

All employees 62% Selected job categories 14%

Not practiced 24%

Q. If monitoring of Websites is practiced, how would you categorize the monitoring?

Ongoing 41%
Routine 29%
Occasional 20%
Specified 10%

Q. Are employees informed of the organization's policy of monitoring Web surfing?

Yes 89% No 11%

Q. Internet Usage: Does your organization use blocking software to prevent Internet connections to unauthorized/inappropriate Websites?

Yes 65% No 26% Don't know 9%

TELEPHONE, VOICE MONITORING AND TAPING

Concerned about inappropriate telephone use, 57% of employers block access to 900 lines and other unauthorized phone numbers. The number of employers who monitor the amount of time employees spend on the phone and track the numbers called has jumped to 31%, up from 9% in 2001. The percentage of companies that tape phone conversations has also grown in the past four years. In 2001, 9% of companies recorded workers' phone calls. Today, 19% tape the calls of employees in selected job categories, and another 3% record and review all employees' phone chat.

Far fewer employers monitor employees' voice mail messages, with 85% reporting that they do not tape or review voice mail.

Employers are doing a good job of notifying employees that their phone conversations are being monitored. Fully 78% notify employees when they monitor time spent and numbers called; 86% alert employees when their conversations are being taped; and among the 15% who tape and review voice mail, 76% notify employees that they are monitoring.

To help manage employees' telephone use, employers apply a combination of policy and discipline. Twenty-seven percent have a written policy governing personal cell phone use at the office, and another 19% use policy to help control the capture and transmission of images via camera phones. Six percent of companies have fired employees for misusing office phones, and another 22% have issued formal reprimands to those who abuse phone privileges.

Q. Telephone Use: Does your organization monitor time spent and numbers called—but NOT taping of conversations?

All employees 31% Selected job categories 20%

Not practiced 49%

Q.	If monitoring of time spent and numbers called is practiced, how would you
	categorize the monitoring?

Ongoing	30%
Routine	33%
Occasional	23%
Specified	14%

Q. Are employees informed of the organization's policy of monitoring telephone use: time spent and numbers called?

Yes	78%
No	22%

Q. Telephone Use: Does your organization tape employees' phone conversations?

All employees	3%
Selected job categories	19%

Not practiced 78%

Q. If phone conversations are taped, how would you categorize the monitoring?

Ongoing	17%
Routine	35%
Occasional	28%
Specified	20%

Q. Are employees informed of the organization's policy of taping telephone conversations?

Yes	86%
No	14%

Q. Does the organization tape and review employees' voice mail messages?

All employees	7%
Selected job categories	8%

Not practiced 85%

Q.	If voice mail is taped and r	eviewed, how would you categorize the monitoring?
	Ongoing	24%
	Routine	25%
	Occasional	30%
	Specified	21%
Q.	Are employees informed of voice mail?	f the organization's policy of taping and reviewing
	Yes	76%
	No	24%
<u>E-</u>	MAIL, IM, INTERNET, B	BLOGGING AND PHONE POLICY
Q.	Does your organization ha	we a written policy governing personal use of e-mail?
	Yes	84%
Q.	Does your organization had Internet?	we a written policy governing personal use of the
	Yes	81%
Q.	Does your organization had messaging?	we a written policy governing personal use of instant
	Yes	42%
Q.	Does your organization has personal Websites on comp	ave a written policy governing the operation of pany time?
	Yes	34%
Q.	Does your organization had corporate blogs?	we a written policy governing personal postings on
	Yes	23%

Q.	Does your organization have a written policy governing the operation of personal blogs on company time?		
	Yes	20%	
Q.	Does your organization hav phones in the office?	ve a written policy governing personal use of cell	
	Yes	27%	
Q. Does your organization have a written policy governing the type of imag may be captured/transmitted via camera phones?			
	Yes	19%	
	DEO SURVEILLANCE Does your organization us	e video surveillance to counter theft, violence or	
Q.	sabotage?	t ruce surremance to counter inejt, reserve or	
	All employees Selected job categories	32% 19%	
	Not practiced	49%	
Q.	If conducting video surveill sabotage, how would you co	lance as a deterrent to counter theft, violence or ategorize the monitoring?	
	Ongoing	49%	
	Routine	33%	
	Occasional	8%	
	Specified	10%	
Q.	Are employees informed of counter theft, violence or so	the organization's video surveillance practices to abotage?	
	Yes	80%	
	No	20%	

Q. Does your organization use video surveillance to monitor employee performance?

All employees 6% Selected job categories 10%

Not practiced 84%

Q. If conducting video surveillance to monitor employee performance, how would you categorize the monitoring?

Ongoing	39%
Routine	41%
Occasional	13%
Specified	7%

Q. Are employees informed of the organization's practice of monitoring performance with video?

Yes 85% No 15%

POLICY COMPLIANCE AND DISCIPLINE

Q. Has your organization ever disciplined an employee for e-mail misuse or private use of company e-mail?

Yes 56% No 26% Don't know 18%

Q. If so, what form did that discipline take? (Check all that apply.)

Dismissal 25% Formal reprimand or warning 33% Informal reprimand or warning 45%

Q. Has your organization ever disciplined an employee for misuse or private use of office Internet connections?

Yes 61% No 23% Don't know 16% Q. If so, what form did that discipline take? (Check all that apply.)

Dismissal 26% Formal reprimand or warning 34% Informal reprimand or warning 25%

Q. Has your organization ever disciplined an employee for misuse or private use of the office telephone?

Yes 39% No 31% Don't know 31%

Q. If so, what form did that discipline take? (Check all that apply.)

Dismissal 6% Formal reprimand or warning 22% Informal reprimand or warning 20%

SATELLITE TECHNOLOGY

Q. Does your organization use Assisted Global Positioning or Global Positioning Systems satellite technology to monitor/track any of the following? (Check all that apply.)

Company vehicles 8%
Company cell phones 5%
Employee ID/Smartcard 8%

Q. Does your organization use any of the following technology to control physical security access to your buildings or data centers? (Check all that apply.)

Smartcard technology53%Fingerprint scan5%Facial recognition2%Iris scan0.5%

Respondent Profile

Number of survey respondents 526

Number of employees per company

100 or fewer	23%
101-500	25%
501-1000	10%
1001-2500	13%
2501-5000	7%
More than 5000	22%

Industry That Best Describes Your Organization

Business/Professional Services	19%
Financial Services	9%
General Services	2%
Manufacturing	16%
Public Administration	8%
Wholesale/Retail	3%
Other	43%

The **2005** Electronic Monitoring & Surveillance Survey is co-sponsored by American Management Association (www.amanet.org) and The ePolicy Institute (www.epolicyinstitute.com). A total of 526 companies participated: 23% represent companies employing 100 or fewer workers, 101–500 employees (25%), 501–1,000 (10%), 1,001–2,500 (13%), 2,501–5,000 (7%) and 5,001 or more (22%). In 2004, 840 U.S. businesses participated in the 2004 Workplace E-Mail and IM Survey from American Management Association and The ePolicy Institute. In 2001, 435 organizations participated in the 2001 Electronic Policies and Procedures Survey from American Management Association and The ePolicy Institute.

The **2005 Electronic Monitoring & Surveillance Survey** questionnaire was designed by American Management Association and The ePolicy Institute's Nancy Flynn, author of *Instant Messaging Rules* (AMACOM 2004) and *E-Mail Rules* (AMACOM 2003). Comparative numbers drawn from **2001 Electronic Policies & Practices Survey** from American Management Association, The ePolicy Institute and *US News & World Report*, as well as the **2004 Workplace E-Mail & Instant Messaging Survey** from American Management Association and The ePolicy Institute.

Media wishing to receive a review copy of *Instant Messaging Rules: A Business Guide to Managing Policies, Security, and Legal Issues for Safe IM Communication* (Nancy Flynn, AMACOM 2004) or *E-Mail Rules: A Business Guide to Managing Policies, Security, and Legal Issues for E-Mail and Digital Communication* (AMACOM 2003), should contact AMACOM's Irene Majuk (212/903-8087 or majuk@amanet.org). Contact AMA's Roger Kelleher (212/903-7976 or rkelleher@amanet.org) for survey process. Contact the ePolicy Institute's Nancy Flynn (614/451-3200 or mancy@epolicyinstitute.com) for e-mail, IM, blogging and Internet policy and workplace best practices.

ePolicyInstitute~AMA~2005Survey~Summary~OutToMedia